

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/517,961	PARENT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS	3726	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS.

(2) David Carrithers.

**Date of Interview:** 10 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Agar (5,632,128) and Cox et al (5,435,111)*

Claims discussed:

*5,7-9,11 and 24-42*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Agar (5,632,128) and Cox et al (5,435,111)*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:00 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asked for clarification of the claim amendments filed 08/25/2009 with regard to the tab being bent and whether the tab after being bent has a portion that still extends in the longitudinal direction toward a center portion. Mr. Carrithers explained that the amendment attempts to claim the tab having a portion extending toward the center portion and a portion bent toward the inner face. The Examiner proposed adding language to the claim to explicitly state that the tab has a portion extending longitudinally toward the center portion and a portion extending toward the inner face to form a spike. Mr. Carrithers proposed adding a limitation direction to a only a portion of the tab is bent, and therefore a portion of the tab remains longitudinally extending. The Examiner agreed that this amendment teaches over the 102(b) rejection with Agar and Cox et al. The Examiner further noted that "pull tabs" found in claims 5, 8, 9, 11, 29, 30, 33, 39 and 42 should be changed to "tabs" because these members are not pull tabs and there is no support in the Specification that they may be used as pull tabs. Mr. Carrithers agreed..